Talent deployment and workforce readiness in the AI era

William Rothwell; Azza Al Hajri, (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3401-2846?utm_source=chatgpt.com), Penn State University, USA

Abstract

Talent deployment is an ongoing, evidence-based process that tracks and aligns role requirements, assesses how well people fit their roles, measures their tenure in those roles, evaluates their skills, and identifies their options for moving within the organization. This paper provides practical guidance on how organizations can implement talent deployment as a disciplined approach for strengthening internal mobility, succession readiness, and workforce capability. The paper develops a conceptual framework by integrating prior research, practitioner literature, and established human resource models related to talent deployment, internal mobility, skills management, and succession planning. Talent deployment redefines workforce readiness by making succession and retention a continuous practice that ensures skills, readiness, and mobility are effectively managed and monitored as core components of organizational resilience. In the artificial intelligence (AI) era, talent deployment functions, including skills mapping, workforce analytics, and internal mobility decisions, can be supported by intelligent systems to improve effectiveness and efficiency. The paper also provides actionable guidance for establishing a talent deployment program, including step-by-step implementation principles, policy and governance considerations, and strategies for managing risks associated with workforce movement. As a conceptual paper, the proposed framework is not empirically tested and may require contextual adaptation based on organizational size, sector, and workforce maturity. Future empirical research is therefore needed to validate the framework and examine its implementation across different organizational contexts.

Keywords: talent deployment, internal mobility, succession readiness, workforce planning

Talent Deployment and Workforce Readiness in the AI Era

Talent deployment is an ongoing, evidence-based process that tracks and aligns role requirements, assesses how well people fit their roles, measures their tenure in those roles, evaluates their skills, and identifies their options for moving within the company (Ray, 2024). Subsequently, it assigns talented people through lateral moves, promotions, rotations, or project assignments, depending on where they can add the most value to the organization (Ulrich et al., 2010). Instead of simply "matching a body to a job," deployment manages how people move through roles to enhance both individual contributions and organizational readiness. This approach also involves ongoing assessment of how well employees fit their current roles, how long they remain before moving, what skills they possess or lack, and what opportunities exist for mobility and repositioning within the talent ecosystem. According to Huang et al. (2023), the integration of AI into workforce systems has created a growing need for more dynamic approaches to talent deployment. Organizations increasingly use AI systems to identify

workforce gaps, detect skill mismatches, and anticipate mobility patterns based on business needs. With AI-supported systems, talent deployment can support skills-based work rather than relying exclusively on fixed job structures.

It is important to distinguish between deployment and staffing. Staffing encompasses traditional processes such as recruitment, selection, headcount management, and assigning employees to roles. Deployment, by contrast, is dynamic, internal, continuous, and skills-first. Although traditional workforce planning helps estimate headcount and role structures at a specific point in time, it often lacks agility (Rothwell & McCormick, 2012). Another distinction involves job ownership versus role fluidity. Under traditional systems, employees typically occupy fixed positions within stable, ladder-like promotion structures, with limited lateral mobility. Deployment, however, emphasizes flexible roles in which employees can move across projects, departments, locations, or assignments based on organizational needs and skill alignment. Although job crafting may still occur within this context, deployment extends beyond reshaping a single position by enabling mobility across multiple roles within the organization.

Several core factors shape effective talent deployment. First, organizations should establish a skills ontology for each role (Miranda et al., 2017). A skills ontology provides a structured classification of required, adjacent, and transferable capabilities, making it easier to align employees with evolving organizational needs. Second, proficiency scales linked to those skills, such as novice-to-expert or level-based systems, help standardize evaluation of employee capability and readiness. Time-in-role guardrails are the third core factor and refer to the typical duration employees should remain in a role before developmental movement occurs. These markers help reduce stagnation, over-tenure risk, and skill obsolescence. Fourth, organizations should create multiple pathways for internal mobility, including lateral, promotional, rotational, and project-based routes, allowing careers to progress in multiple directions rather than through strictly upward advancement. Fifth, organizations should maintain pools of potential successors who are ready or nearly ready for key roles and support them through deployment plans aligned with readiness and mobility potential (Rothwell, 2023).

WHY ORGANIZATIONS NEED TO PAY MORE ATTENTION TO TALENT DEPLOYMENT

In today's business landscape, talent deployment has become increasingly important rather than optional. Multiple driving factors, including shorter employee tenure, rising expectations for internal mobility, weak confidence in succession pipelines, rapid shifts in required skills, and the high cost of vacancies, have created conditions in which static staffing models can no longer keep pace. As a result, deployment is no longer a rhetorical exercise but a strategic response organizations must address.

First, employee tenure in the United States has declined significantly, increasing the importance of deployment. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the median tenure for wage and salary workers dropped to 3.9 years in January 2024, the lowest level since 2004 (The Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2024). With such short tenure periods, organizations can no longer rely on long-term role stability. Deployment helps sustain employee engagement by providing new

challenges, reduces turnover by promoting continuous learning, and strengthens talent development by exposing individuals to different functions and organizational problems (Bossi et al., 2022).

Second, internal mobility functions as a strong retention mechanism and has therefore become a central component of talent deployment. Employees who move internally within organizations tend to remain longer than those who do not (Rothwell et al., 2025). Organizations with strong internal mobility practices retain employees approximately 41 percent longer than organizations with limited movement opportunities (McLaren, 2020).

Third, organizations face declining confidence in succession planning systems (Della Penna, 2019). This gap between succession ambitions and organizational confidence creates risk not only for leadership continuity but also for broader talent pipeline stability. Deployment addresses this challenge by continuously monitoring role requirements, skill supply, mobility pathways, and time-in-role benchmarks. In doing so, organizations can strengthen succession pools and reduce operational risk.

Fourth, increasing skill disruption and workforce mismatches place additional pressure on organizations. According to the World Economic Forum, employers believe that 39% of workers' core skills will change by 2030, while approximately 87% of companies already face or anticipate skills gaps (World Economic Forum, 2025). As artificial intelligence and hybrid work continue reshaping job demands, organizations must move beyond fixed job titles toward skills-based workforce models. Deployment supports this transition through continuous assessment of role-skill alignment and internal talent matching. Within this framework, AI systems can support multiple deployment functions, including identifying talent, evaluating workforce capabilities, forecasting shortages, recommending internal mobility pathways, and identifying future leadership candidates.

Finally, the high cost of vacancies and external hiring makes rapid redeployment a strategic advantage. Filling organizational roles often requires 40 days or more, while sourcing, onboarding, and lost productivity can raise replacement costs to between 1.5 and 2 times the position's annual salary (Prokopets, 2024). Redeploying internal talent reduces ramp-up time, preserves institutional knowledge, and supports operational continuity. In this sense, deployment transforms the hidden costs of vacancies into opportunities for internal mobility and organizational resilience.

WHAT "GOOD" TALENT DEPLOYMENT LOOKS LIKE

Visibility and alignment should be central elements of effective talent deployment. Organizations need a clear and current understanding of the skills landscape across role families. In practice, this means identifying the specific skills required for each role, the adjacent capabilities employees can develop, areas requiring additional growth, and the internal talent available to move into those positions. Such clarity provides a stable foundation and prevents organizations from rebuilding talent pipelines each time a role becomes vacant. At the same time, each role should include clear expectations regarding how long employees should typically remain before developmental movement occurs. These "guardrails" help prevent both underdevelopment and

skill stagnation. Organizations should also maintain successor lists consisting of individuals whose readiness has been assessed, whose mobility options are understood, and whose development plans are already in progress. Finally, both lateral and promotional pathways should be clearly mapped. Rather than relying on vague messages such as "you decide your path," organizations should establish structured career journeys in which lateral movement is treated as strategically valuable alongside promotion opportunities.

Governance is equally essential and should occur through quarterly Talent Review Meetings aligned with broader business and operational reviews rather than remaining solely within HR functions. During these reviews, leaders evaluate employees who have remained in roles beyond expected timeframes, identify missed internal mobility opportunities caused by limited visibility, and examine skills maps to detect emerging capability gaps. Talent Review Meetings function as structured governance mechanisms through which leaders review successor pools, evaluate redeployment options, and identify "at-risk" talent to guide deployment decisions across the organization. Importantly, mobility systems should not recreate traditional patterns of favoritism but instead expand opportunities across roles, locations, and demographic groups (Rothwell et al., 2022). Effective deployment must also balance employee preferences with organizational priorities rather than relying exclusively on individual choice (Ray, 2024). Internal postings should therefore remain transparent, with clearly defined eligibility and selection criteria. Although employees should be encouraged to express mobility interests, final deployment decisions must ultimately reflect organizational needs, role criticality, and skill requirements. Transparency strengthens organizational trust and legitimacy. When implemented effectively, deployment shifts organizational focus away from merely filling vacancies toward improving the flow of capability across the enterprise (Rothwell et al., 2024). Roles are no longer filled only after departures occur; instead, mobility is pursued proactively to strengthen teams and prepare for future workforce demands. Organizations that implement deployment effectively become more agile, experience lower turnover, and are better positioned to respond to future change (Lee, Gerhart, Weller, & Trevor, 2008).

COMMON FAILURE MODES IN TRADITIONAL WORKFORCE PLANNING

Legacy workforce-planning frameworks contain several predictable failure modes that reduce organizational agility and responsiveness. These weaknesses often undermine talent readiness, succession continuity, and internal mobility. The major failure modes and their organizational implications are discussed below.

Role Requisition Driven Planning and Skills Blindness

A job requisition is typically the starting point of traditional workforce planning. The process begins when a headcount request is approved, followed by the creation of a job description and the initiation of recruitment. Although this approach may be efficient, it often overlooks the underlying skills, transferable capabilities, and internal talent already present within the organization, resulting in what may be described as "skills blindness." Because workforce planning remains anchored to fixed job structures, organizations frequently emphasize external

hiring rather than developing internal capability through upskilling within current roles, reskilling for new roles, or cross-skilling across functions.

Annual Planning Cycles and Outdated Role Fit

Workforce planning has traditionally focused on forecasting workforce supply and demand and aligning staffing levels with organizational needs (Peck, 2025). However, business conditions change rapidly as technologies evolve, markets shift, reorganizations occur, and hybrid or remote work reshape job expectations. Consequently, workforce plans often become outdated because they are not reviewed continuously, creating a gap between static annual planning and dynamic organizational realities that results in misalignment and slow response times. In addition, many organizations limit workforce planning to traditional full-time employees working standard schedules (Boudreau et al., 2015). Talent deployment, by contrast, considers a broader labor ecosystem that may include gig workers, part-time employees, flex-place workers, and consultants, thereby significantly expanding the available talent pool.

Underutilized Internal Talent and Missed Mobility

Organizations often underutilize their existing workforce when they rely primarily on external hiring and traditional promotion ladders (Rothwell, 2023). Under these conditions, internal mobility becomes ad hoc, restricted, or vulnerable to "talent hoarding" rather than functioning as a deliberate organizational strategy. As a result, employees may remain in roles beyond the optimal timeframe, contributing to underused capability, disengagement, and eventually turnover.

Informal succession planning and thin benches

In many organizations, succession planning remains informal or limited primarily to top-level leadership roles (Della Penna, 2019; Rothwell, 2023). When clear succession structures are absent, leadership gaps often emerge whenever departures occur, forcing organizations to respond reactively rather than proactively. This lack of systematic planning exposes organizations to operational risks that can disrupt entire functions and weaken long-term continuity.

Absence Of Time in Role Thresholds and Flight Risk

Another common issue is the absence of tenure guardrails, or expected time-in-role guidelines, which can result in employees remaining in roles either too long or too briefly. Extended tenure in a single role may lead to stagnation, skill decline, and disengagement, whereas overly rapid movement may produce shallow capability and limited developmental investment. Without clear guardrails, mobility decisions become inconsistent or fail to occur altogether, contributing to disengagement, reduced performance, and higher turnover, particularly among high-potential employees. To address this issue, organizations should establish formal policies and procedures that define tenure expectations for key positions. A commonly used rule of thumb suggests that

employees should receive a new challenge every four to seven years (Rothwell, 2023). This approach helps prevent skills atrophy while ensuring that the "right person" remains in the "right role" over time. Talent review meetings should therefore consider both high-potential employees and individuals at risk of leaving when developing deployment plans. By monitoring tenure guardrails, organizations can reduce stagnation, identify "at-risk" talent through risk-of-loss grids, and maintain alignment between human capital and evolving strategic objectives.

Collectively, these failure modes reduce workforce planning to little more than a headcount exercise. Organizations that continue relying on requisition-led, annual-cycle, and siloed planning approaches will struggle with agility, mobility, and readiness for change. In addition, "fill one position at a time" thinking overlooks the broader skill needs of teams, departments, and divisions by focusing narrowly on replacing individuals rather than sustaining collective capability (World Economic Forum, 2023). Moving toward a deployment-oriented approach therefore requires organizations to treat workforce planning as continuous, skills-based, mobility-driven, and supported by strong governance structures.

DATA FOUNDATIONS FOR TALENT DEPLOYMENT

The fast-paced nature of today's work environment makes it increasingly difficult to rely on anecdotal or intuitive judgment when deploying talent. Effective deployment requires a strong data foundation that links role expectations, skill requirements, talent signals, labor market trends, and governance mechanisms (Ray, 2024). Without such a foundation, deployment decisions become reactive, inconsistent, and vulnerable to bias (Ray, 2024). Key talent deployment metrics are summarized in Table 1. First, effective deployment systems begin with detailed mapping of roles to skills. Organizations should establish clear frameworks specifying role requirements, including tasks, capabilities, skills, and proficiency levels. These frameworks can be strengthened through verifiable evidence such as credentials, certifications, project experience, or performance outcomes. When skills are clearly defined and connected to role expectations, decisions regarding mobility and readiness become more transparent, allowing talent to be evaluated based on demonstrated capability rather than job titles alone.

Second, talent deployment depends on the continuous flow of internal organizational signals (Bossi et al., 2022). These signals may include performance records, completed training, certifications, 360-degree feedback, project histories, time-in-role patterns, and expressed career interests. Such information enables organizations to understand not only what employees are capable of doing but also what they aspire to do. Without these signals, mobility decisions lack contextual depth, and organizations risk overlooking employees with strong potential or developmental ambition.

Third, external labor market information is equally important (Bossi et al., 2022). Data regarding emerging skill demands, job trends, labor supply forecasts, and competitive benchmarks help organizations determine whether internal capabilities remain aligned with evolving market expectations. In rapidly changing environments, possessing current skills does not necessarily ensure future competitiveness or organizational relevance.

Fourth, data quality and privacy foundations are often underestimated. Effective deployment depends on accurate, current, and reliable information supported by robust governance structures. These structures include access controls, audit trails, consent protocols, privacy protections, regulatory compliance, and ethical safeguards. Weak governance can undermine organizational trust and compromise decision quality, as emphasized in people analytics research. Organizations seeking to institutionalize talent deployment as a disciplined practice must therefore integrate role-skill mapping, proficiency frameworks, verified evidence, continuous talent signals, labor market intelligence, and strong governance systems. Under these conditions, deployment shifts from an intuitive process toward an evidence-driven system that supports fair, transparent, and agile internal mobility.

Artificial intelligence is increasingly integrated into modern talent deployment systems. These technologies enable organizations to move beyond static workforce planning toward more continuous and adaptive deployment practices. AI can also support internal talent marketplaces by matching employees to projects, developmental assignments, or future roles based on skills profiles, learning histories, certifications, and prior performance indicators (Huang et al., 2023).

Table 1

Key Metrics for Monitoring Talent Deployment Effectiveness

Metric Category	Metric Category	Metric Category
Leading Indicators	Internal mobility rate	Signals whether talent is flowing across roles and whether mobility mechanisms are functioning.
	Roles with two or more ready successors	Reflects bench depth, readiness, and continuity capacity for critical roles.
	Average time-to-deploy	Indicates organizational agility in matching internal talent to emerging role needs; shorter times reflect stronger deployment capability.
	Skill-gap closure rate	Shows the extent to which skill needs are addressed through internal reassignment or development rather than external hiring.
Lagging Indicators	Internal fill rate for critical roles	Reflects the effectiveness of internal deployment and reliance on internal versus external talent .
	Vacancy days	Longer vacancy periods signal deployment delays and increased operational risk.
	Regrettable turnover in critical roles	Indicates weaknesses in deployment, development, or retention for high-impact positions.
	Diversity of successor pools	Assesses whether deployment pipelines support equity, representation, and organizational resilience.

Financial Indicators	Avoided external hiring cost	Captures cost savings from filling roles internally rather than externally.
	Reduced time-to-fill cost	Reflects productivity preserved through faster internal deployment.
	Productivity preservation during transitions	Indicates how effectively performance is maintained when roles change hands internally.

ACTION STEPS TO ESTABLISH A TALENT DEPLOYMENT PROGRAM

Launching an effective talent deployment program should begin with executive support. Organizational leaders must agree on which roles are strategically critical and formally endorse deployment as a business priority rather than an optional HR initiative (Nyongesa & Van Der Westhuizen, 2024). Executive sponsorship provides both strategic direction and organizational credibility. Organizations should then validate their skills ontology and proficiency scales by mapping job families to required capabilities and defining proficiency levels ranging from novice to expert. This process should also clarify the forms of evidence used to verify capability, including certifications, assessments, and project experience. Once the framework is established, organizations should inventory current employees by documenting skills, tenure in role, and mobility preferences. This information creates an operational talent pool that supports informed deployment decisions. Understanding both employee capability and aspiration is essential because forced mobility without consideration of employee preferences may increase disengagement.

Organizations should next establish time-in-role guardrails and mobility norms. For example, setting expectations of 24 to 36 months in a role before considering rotational or developmental movement can reduce stagnation, broaden experience, and reinforce organizational commitment to mobility. After these guardrails are defined, organizations can develop internal mobility or gig marketplaces that connect projects, assignments, and opportunities to the skills framework. Such systems allow employees to access lateral moves, rotational assignments, project-based work, and promotional opportunities aligned with their capabilities. Organizations should also establish deployment councils consisting of business leaders, HR or OD professionals, and people analytics specialists. Quarterly review meetings can then evaluate mobility activity, skills gaps, readiness indicators, and movement outcomes to ensure alignment with organizational priorities and accountability for progress.

Succession planning and deployment should also be integrated rather than treated as separate activities (Rothwell, 2023). Internal succession, mobility, external hiring, and turnover trends should be reviewed collectively to compare internal capability with external recruitment needs and emerging retention risks. This integrated perspective helps leaders determine when internal development is appropriate and when external hiring may be necessary. Transparency is equally important. Organizations should clearly communicate eligibility requirements, posting procedures, selection criteria, and fairness mechanisms to reduce bias, discourage talent hoarding, and strengthen employee trust in the system (Ray, 2024). In addition, organizations should establish key performance indicators (KPIs) such as internal mobility rates, time-to-

deploy metrics, skill-gap closure rates, and bench strength for critical positions. Clearly defined metrics allow leaders to evaluate deployment effectiveness and organizational impact.

Finally, organizations should pilot deployment initiatives before implementing them across the enterprise. Smaller-scale pilots allow organizations to identify weaknesses, refine processes, and build organizational momentum before broader adoption occurs. Pilot efforts are most effective when focused on strategically important or high-turnover functions identified by senior leadership. Most importantly, piloting supports gradual cultural adoption rather than relying on a large-scale "big bang" implementation approach that may fail under organizational resistance or operational complexity.

STEP-BY-STEP IMPLEMENTATION PLAYBOOK

Effective talent deployment begins when organizations treat employee movement, readiness, and skill development as continuous practices rather than occasional activities. This section presents a practical, step-by-step implementation playbook designed to help organizations deploy talent more effectively while strengthening future workforce capability.

Step 1: Pick the Critical Scope (30–60 days)

First, organizations should clearly define the business purpose of talent deployment. Without aligning deployment efforts to organizational strategy, there is little assurance that the system will succeed. Leaders should identify two or three role families that have a significant influence on organizational value, continuity, or operational risk. These may include engineering, clinical positions, cybersecurity teams, sales specialists, or operations managers—roles directly connected to revenue generation, risk management, or regulated activities. Organizations should also establish clear success metrics, including internal fill rates, bench depth, time-to-deploy, and readiness levels. Defining these measures early helps maintain strategic focus and accountability while supporting pilot implementation, troubleshooting, and organizational learning before broader expansion occurs.

Step 2: Map Roles to Skills (30–45 days)

In the second step, organizations should develop a detailed understanding of the work being performed across roles. This process includes identifying role responsibilities, core tasks, and the skills required to perform them effectively. Organizations should also establish proficiency levels, typically ranging from novice to expert, and define the forms of evidence used to verify capability, such as completed projects, certifications, or assessment results. Research suggests that skills mapping, through the alignment of roles, tasks, and required capabilities, leads to more objective mobility decisions (AIHR, 2024). Such mapping enables organizations to assess whether employees are "ready now," "ready soon," or "ready later" for future opportunities. In addition, organizations should identify transferable capabilities that broaden mobility pathways across functions and emerging role demands. These transferable skills often serve as bridges between functional specializations and evolving organizational needs.

Table 2

Skills Mapping Process for Talent Deployment Adapted from AIHR

Step	Core Step	Description
1	Clearly define the objectives	Clarify the purpose of skills mapping and identify which roles or role families are included.
2	Present the business case for skills mapping	Ensure leadership agreement on priorities, outcomes, and resourcing for the skills-mapping effort.
3	Get leadership buy-in	Define the approach, timeline, and key stakeholders involved in the process.
4	Define s skills mapping process	Identify and organize technical, behavioral, and transferable skills required for each role.
5	Involve key stakeholders	Define proficiency scales (e.g., novice to expert) to allow consistent skill evaluation.
6	Develop a skills inventory/library	Determine the systems, assessments, and evidence sources used to capture skills data.
7	Decide on the appropriate technology and tools to use	Explain the process to managers and employees to build transparency and participation.
8	Communicate the process and tools to employees and managers	Collect information on employees' skills and proficiency levels.
9	Conduct skills assessment	Compare required skills with current capabilities to identify gaps and surpluses.
10	Analyze data to identify skills coverage and gaps	Use skills data to inform internal mobility, redeployment, and development decisions.
11	Define strategies to develop skills and close skills gaps	Develop strategies to address skills gaps such as training, coaching, digital tools.

Step 3: Baseline the Workforce

In the third step, once the role structure has been defined, organizations must assess the internal talent supply. This process should capture four core talent attributes: (a) verified skills, (b) time-in-role, (c) employee readiness, and (d) career interests and mobility aspirations. Career interests refer to long-term professional goals, whereas mobility preferences reflect an employee's willingness to relocate or move across roles. By reviewing time-in-role data alongside documented proficiency levels, organizations can identify stagnation risks, unrealized opportunities, and short-term deployment possibilities. Understanding employee aspirations is equally important because it helps organizations avoid placing talent into unwanted roles while still encouraging reasonable movement and development (Rothwell et al., 2015). This foundational step allows organizations to identify capability gaps by determining whether critical skills are unavailable internally or whether existing talent is being underutilized.

Step 4: Design Movement Mechanisms

To ensure the effectiveness of a deployment system, movement mechanisms should be clearly defined, accessible, and connected to verifiable skills evidence. Five primary categories typically support talent movement: (a) lateral tracks that expand expertise across roles, (b) rotations that expose employees to diverse experiences and networks, (c) stretch assignments that strengthen capability development, (d) short-term projects that build readiness for future opportunities, and (e) promotions, which remain important but should not function as the sole form of advancement. These mechanisms also support skill development within current roles by enabling employees to acquire new capabilities through applied experience. Lombardo and Eichinger (2014) described a set of structured development-in-place assignments, commonly referred to as the "88 assignments," that support upskilling, reskilling, new skilling, and cross-skilling without requiring immediate role changes.

Step 5 Integrate Processes & Technology

Technology should support talent deployment decision-making rather than replace human judgment. Organizations should integrate their Human Resource Information System (HRIS), learning platforms, and internal mobility marketplaces so that employee profiles, skills, roles, and opportunities can be searched dynamically and updated continuously. In addition, HRIS alerts can be automated to support equity and visibility within the deployment process by notifying leaders about emerging opportunities, mobility patterns, and readiness indicators, thereby helping maintain organizational momentum (Bossi et al., 2022).

Step 6: Run the First Deployment Review

In this step, organizations should conduct quarterly deployment reviews involving key stakeholders, including business leaders, HR/OD professionals, and people analytics staff. Deployment reviews differ from Talent Review Meetings because they focus on active talent movement decisions, such as internal redeployment, skill-based assignments, and role transitions, rather than concentrating primarily on successor identification and readiness assessment (Rothwell, 2023). During these reviews, organizations should address four primary objectives: (1) filling priority roles internally before pursuing external recruitment, (2) approving movement recommendations, (3) assigning stretch or developmental opportunities, and (4) updating successor readiness levels. Establishing a quarterly review cycle helps maintain alignment between deployment activities and organizational strategy while ensuring that mobility decisions are based on current and reliable information.

Step 7: Enable Leaders & Employees

An effective deployment process requires that stakeholders be properly equipped and supported. Organizations should provide leaders with toolkits containing guidance on evaluating skills, requesting deployment support, facilitating mobility discussions, and minimizing bias in decision-making. Employees should also receive coaching to help them identify career pathways, interpret skills data, and pursue development opportunities more effectively (Rothwell & Bakhshandeh, 2022). These resources help employees understand how roles connect to skills, development pathways, and mobility options, thereby encouraging greater self-direction and

engagement. To further reduce bias and promote equal opportunity across the organization, the deployment process must remain transparent and consistently applied (Ray, 2024).

Step 8: Measure & Improve

Talent deployment should be understood as a continuous learning system rather than a one-time event. Organizations should therefore assess and monitor a set of key metrics, including internal mobility rate, vacancy duration, internal fill rate, skill-gap closure, bench strength, and regrettable turnover in critical roles. Table 3 presents the calculation method for each metric. Together, these indicators help determine whether the organization is shifting from a reactive staffing model toward a more proactive deployment approach. Evaluation should also include employee sentiment, particularly perceptions of fairness, transparency, and clarity within the mobility process (Rothwell et al., 2013). Over time, effective deployment practices become embedded within the organizational culture.

Table 3.

Talent Deployment Metrics and How They Are Calculated

Metric	Method of Calculation
Internal mobility rate	Internal mobility rate = (total number of internal movements/total number of employees) x 100
Vacancy days	Vacancy Rate (%) = (Number of Open Positions ÷ Total Number of Positions) × 100
Internal fill rate	Internal Hire Rate = Total Internal Hires in period / Average Headcount in period
Skill-gap closure	Needed Skills (A) - Current Skills (B) A-B= C (skills needed)
Bench strength	(Unique Qualified Successors / Total Critical Roles Needed)
Regrettable turnover in critical roles	(Number of Regrettable Departures in Critical Roles / Average Number of Employees in Critical Roles) x 100

POLICY AND GOVERNANCE CONSIDERATIONS

An effective talent deployment system depends not only on well-designed processes but also on clear policies and governance structures. At the center of governance is equitable access to opportunities. Internal opportunities, including rotations and project-based assignments, should be posted openly and transparently so that all employees have the opportunity to apply. Such transparency supports deployment decisions based on demonstrated capability rather than personal relationships (AIHR, 2024). Each posting should include clearly defined selection criteria, including required skills, work experience, and proficiency levels. Employees selected

for deployment opportunities should also understand the associated expectations, including time-in-role requirements, learning completion expectations, and performance standards.

Organizations should additionally provide formal mechanisms for employees to raise questions or appeal deployment decisions. Appeals processes, typically managed through HR, OD units, or mobility councils, help strengthen legitimacy and trust within the deployment system. Governance policies should also address information stewardship by clarifying how employee data will be collected, accessed, protected, and used. This issue is especially important because deployment systems rely on employee skill profiles, performance indicators, and career aspirations, all of which intersect with professional development and privacy concerns (Bidwell & Mollick, 2015). In the AI era, organizations must also avoid relying blindly on algorithmic recommendations when using AI-supported deployment tools. Huang et al. (2023) emphasized that AI-supported HR systems should complement rather than replace human judgment in workforce decision-making. Managers and HR professionals should therefore review and understand why a candidate was recommended by the AI system, including whether recommendations were based on skills, learning histories, or demonstrated capability. Such verification processes help reduce the risk of bias associated with AI-assisted selection systems (Bidwell & Mollick, 2015).

RISKS AND MITIGATIONS

Talent deployment offers significant strategic value; however, risks may emerge if deployment systems are not carefully designed and governed (Ray, 2024). One common risk involves moving employees too frequently without clear criteria or developmental rationale (Rothwell, 2023). Organizations can mitigate this risk by establishing clear guardrails, including minimum time-in-role expectations and structured review processes (Rothwell, 2023). Deployment decisions should also be reviewed by business leaders, HR professionals, and analytics specialists to promote consistency, fairness, and accountability. Another major risk involves data quality and privacy, as incomplete or outdated information can negatively affect deployment decisions and create legal or reputational concerns (Bidwell & Mollick, 2015). In addition, managerial resistance to mobility may weaken succession planning and restrict internal talent flow. Aligning incentives, performance expectations, and transparent reporting mechanisms can help reinforce accountability and support a stronger deployment culture (Bidwell & Mollick, 2015).

CONCLUSION

Talent deployment has redefined workforce readiness by transforming succession and retention into continuous organizational practices. This approach ensures that skills, readiness, and mobility are actively managed and monitored as core elements of organizational resilience. As AI continues to evolve within the workplace, organizations will increasingly require deployment systems that integrate workforce analytics, skills intelligence, governance structures, and human oversight to support more effective and efficient decision-making. To strengthen resilience and support long-term growth, organizations should focus deployment efforts on critical roles,

maintain transparency, establish clear governance policies, and evaluate progress through measurable performance indicators.

REFERENCES

Academy to Innovate HR. (2024). *What is skills mapping? Your 11-step implementation guide*. AIHR. https://www.aihr.com/blog/skills-mapping/

Bidwell, M., & Mollick, E. (2015). Shifts and ladders: Comparing the role of internal and external mobility in managerial careers. *Organization Science, 26*(6), 1629–1645. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2015.1003

Bossi, F., Di Gruttola, F., Mastrogiorgio, A., D'Arcangelo, S., Lattanzi, N., Malizia, A. P., & Ricciardi, E. (2022). Estimating successful internal mobility: A comparison between structural equation models and machine learning algorithms. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 5*, Article 848015. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.848015

Boudreau, J. W., Jesuthasan, R., & Creelman, D. (2015). *Lead the work: Navigating a world beyond employment*. John Wiley & Sons.

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2024, September 26). *Employee tenure in 2024 (USDL-24-1971)*. U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/tenure.pdf

Della Penna, L. (2019). *Succession planning is changing – Here's how to keep up*. MHS. https://mhs.com/blog/succession-planning-is-changing-heres-how-to-keep-up/

Huang, X., Yang, F., Zheng, J., Feng, C., & Zhang, L. (2023). Personalized human resource management via HR analytics and artificial intelligence: Theory and implications. *Asia Pacific Management Review, 28*(4), 598–610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmrv.2023.04.004

Lombardo, M. M., & Eichinger, R. W. (2014). *Eighty-eight assignments for development in place*. Center for Creative Leadership.

McLaren, S. (2020, March 4). *Employees stay 41 percent longer at companies that use this strategy*. LinkedIn Talent Blog. https://www.linkedin.com/business/talent/blog/talent-management/employees-stay-41-percent-longer-at-companies-that-do-this

Miranda, S., Orciuoli, F., Loia, V., & Sampson, D. (2017). An ontology-based model for competence management. *Data & Knowledge Engineering, 107*, 51–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.datak.2016.12.001

Nyongesa Wesonga, J., & Van Der Westhuizen, J. (2024). Effect of talent development on organizational performance. *EUREKA: Social and Humanities, 2*, 25–37. https://doi.org/10.21303/2504-5571.2024.003374

Peck, W. J. (2025). Workforce planning: A review of methodologies. *Annals of Operations Research, 352*(1–2), 251–294. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-025-06734-1

Prokopets, E. (2024, November 10). *The true cost of hiring an employee in 2024*. Toggl Blog. https://toggl.com/blog/cost-of-hiring-an-employee

Ray, C. (2024). Internal mobility: A review and agenda for future research. *Journal of Management, 50*(1), 264–306. https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063231180826

Rothwell, W. J. (2023). *Effective succession planning: Ensuring leadership continuity and building talent from within* (5th ed.). HarperCollins.

Rothwell, W. J., & Bakhshandeh, A. (2022). *High-performance coaching for managers: A step-by-step approach to increase employees' performance and productivity*. Productivity Press.

Rothwell, W. J., Graber, J., & McCormick, N. (2012). *Lean but agile: Rethink workforce planning and gain a true competitive advantage*. AMACOM.

Rothwell, W. J., Robinson, J. C., & Phillips, J. J. (2013). *Employee engagement: Tools for analysis, practice, and competitive advantage*. Wiley.

Rothwell, W. J., Jackson, R., Ressler, C., & Jones, M. (2015). *Career planning and succession management: Developing your organization's talent for today and tomorrow*. Praeger.

Rothwell, W. J., Ealy, P., & Campbell, J. (Eds.). (2022). *Rethinking organizational diversity, equity, and inclusion: A step-by-step guide for facilitating effective change*. Routledge.

Rothwell, W. J., Mozaffari, F., & Al Hajri, A. (2024). A bibliometric overview of competency and capability modeling: Research contributions and trends (2000–2024). *Performance Improvement Quarterly, 37*(2), 67–88. https://doi.org/10.56811/piq-24-0022

Rothwell, W. J., Grossman, S., & Scott, R. (2025). *Motivated to stay: 100 strategies for keeping your best people*. Warrior Books.

Tae Heon Lee, Gerhart, B., Weller, I., & Trevor, C. O. (2008). Understanding voluntary turnover: Path-specific job satisfaction effects and the importance of unsolicited job offers. *Academy of Management Journal, 51*(4), 651–671. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2008.33665124

Ulrich, D., Brockbank, W., Johnson, D., Sandholtz, K., & Younger, J. (2010). HR competencies: Mastery at the intersection of people and business. *NHRD Network Journal, 3*(4), 103–104. https://doi.org/10.1177/0974173920100422

World Economic Forum. (2025). *The future of jobs report 2025*. https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/