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Abstract

**Background and Purpose:** Activity trackers have grown increasingly popular, yet research grade accelerometers, like Actigraph, are still very expensive and must be worn on the waist. This study correlated the low-cost wrist-worn SQORD band to the Actigraph accelerometer to assess physical activity (PA) in youth from a low-income area. **Methods:** Forty-one participants (22:19 male:female; mean age= 10.7 years) in an after-school program participated. Participants wore a waist-worn Actigraph accelerometer and a wrist-worn SQORD for seven days. Abdominal, upper and lower body strength and endurance, and aerobic capacity were assessed through FITNESSGRAM tests. Bivariate correlations were used to analyze the relationship between moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) via the Actigraph and SQORD and to examine relationships between physical fitness and MVPA. **Results:** At 8- and 10-hour wear-time, compliance with wearing the devices was higher with the SQORD than the Actigraph. A correlation was found between MVPA via SQORD and Actigraph \((r=0.651)\). Neither the SQORD or Actigraph was associated with any fitness measures \((r=-0.061; -0.817)\). **Conclusion:** The SQORD appears to be successful in tracking MVPA in youth and was worn more than the Actigraph. Wrist-worn, consumer-grade devices may be a cost-effective alternative to traditional accelerometers for physical education programs and research in low-income populations.
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Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends youth aged 6-17 years participate in at least 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) a day (CDC, 2017). Despite these guidelines, a large proportion of youth are not sufficiently physically active. Estimates suggest just 22% of youth meet the recommended MVPA guidelines, highlighting the need to increase physical activity in this population (Katzmarzyk et al., 2016). Andersen and colleagues (2006) have shown that one hour of MVPA daily is associated with reduced likelihood of cardiovascular risk factors and improved body composition in youth. Beyond physiological outcomes, benefits of MVPA for youth also include educational outcomes such as cognition, connectedness, and a reduction in absenteeism and dropout rates (Andersen et al., 2006; Basch, 2011).

Low participation in MVPA has been associated with several sociodemographic characteristics. Rates of MVPA participation are lower in Black and Hispanic youth than in White, with these disparities especially evident in females (Basch, 2011). Brodersen and colleagues (2007) found that Black youth spent more time participating in sedentary behaviors than White youth. This same study also found youth from lower socioeconomic status (SES) families participated in sedentary behavior 2.29 and 4.09 hours more per week, respectively, compared to youth from higher SES families. A recent systematic review by Stalsberg and Pedersen (2010) found that 58% of 62 studies reported that youth ages 13 to 18...
years with higher SES were more active than youth with lower SES. Parental education level also appears to be associated with youth MVPA levels. Frederick, Snellman, and Putnam (2014) showed that 11-13% more of youth with college-educated parents reported being physically active than youth whose parents held only a high school diploma. For youth of low SES, school-based MVPA opportunities may be an important point of intervention given that those who participate in the lowest levels of MVPA have been shown to have the least access to school-based activity opportunities and resources (Basch, 2011).

With youth MVPA participation rates so low, strategies to promote an active lifestyle among those aged 6 to 19 are essential, especially in low-income areas. Mobile devices and fitness trackers have become increasingly popular methods for raising self-awareness regarding physical activity behavior (Case, Burwick, Volpp, & Patel, 2015; Fanning, Mullen, & McAuley, 2012). New game-based technologies have been promoted as a method for increasing MVPA in obese youth (Garde et al., 2015). Recent studies have shown that consumer-grade devices are valid for measuring physical activity in adults (Dominick, Winfree, Pohlig, & Papas, 2016; Evenson, Goto, & Furberg, 2015; Ferguson, Rowlands, Olds, & Maher, 2015). However, there is limited evidence regarding appropriate and effective fitness tracking technology for youth in a low-income school/after-school setting.

Research-grade accelerometers have been widely used in large-scale, national studies, and have also been used to establish validity of other consumer-based fitness tracking devices (e.g. Fitbit Flex and Fitbit Zip) in a number of studies (Chu et al., 2017; Lee, Williams, Brown, & Laurson, 2014; Tully, McBride, Heron, & Hunter, 2014). Although the Actigraph GT3X accelerometer (Actigraph Corporation, 2017) is used extensively in research, it is not an appropriate activity-tracking tool for the consumer, especially for youth, due to its lack of simple MVPA feedback (i.e., the Actigraph does not have a display and the software must be purchased to view MVPA levels).

Less is known about consumer-grade activity monitors that have been developed specifically for use in youth populations, especially in comparison to research-grade accelerometers. The SQORD band is a wrist-worn device that measures the duration and intensity of physical activity, linking the data to an online platform and the user’s mobile phone, where participants can create an avatar of themselves and participate in a game. The SQORD band was designed with youth in mind, is considerably less expensive than research-grade accelerometers, and syncs wirelessly to the user’s mobile phone, providing direct feedback regarding activity (SQORD, 2015).

One method to better understand activity monitors, including accelerometers, is by relating physical activity captured by the devices to performance on measures of fitness. Although physical activity and fitness level are two different constructs, there is evidence showing that higher levels of physical activity are correlated with higher fitness levels in youth (Aires et al., 2010; Lohman et al., 2008; Martinez-Gomez et al., 2011). Cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness levels have both been shown to be associated with physical activity among adolescents (Aires et al., 2010; Lohman et al., 2008; Martinez-Gomez et al., 2011). Although previous studies have demonstrated relationships between daily physical activity measured by accelerometry and fitness level, these associations have yet to be established using the SQORD band.

As outlined earlier, MVPA has previously shown to be inversely associated with SES; therefore, finding methods to increase physical activity in low SES populations is especially important. The SQORD band is a cost- and consumer-friendly device that tracks physical activity in youth and provides quick, simple feedback for the user; however, data regarding the associations of the SQORD band’s output compared to a research-grade accelerometer in a low-SES population is lacking. To our knowledge, only two studies have examined the SQORD band and to date (Masteller, Sirard, & Freedson, 2017; Sirard, Masteller, Freedson, Mendoza, & Hickey, 2017), there are no published data on the wear-time
necessary to obtain accurate MVPA data using the wrist-worn SQORD band or if a low SES population would prefer the SQORD band compared to a research-grade accelerometer. The SQORD band also reports activity in the form of arbitrary points (e.g., instead of 10,000 steps the SQORD band will say a person gained 90,500pts), which has yet to be correlated with a specific level of physical activity. Thus, the purpose of this study was to assess daily MVPA and differences in wear-time compliance recorded by a consumer-grade, wrist-worn activity monitor (SQORD band) in comparison to a research-grade, waist-worn accelerometer (Actigraph accelerometer). This study focused on elementary school-aged youth from low-income schools. The relationships between daily MVPA measured by the SQORD band, cardiorespiratory fitness, and muscular fitness were examined in order to better understand the SQORD band. We hypothesized that the SQORD band would correlate highly with the Actigraph due to past wrist-worn activity monitors (e.g., Fitbit) showing strong correlations to the Actigraph (Chu et al., 2017; Lee, Williams, Brown, & Laurson, 2014; Tully, McBride, Heron, & Hunter, 2014).

Methods

Study Design
Data were drawn for this quantitative study are from a baseline measure of a larger intervention study examining the effects of an after-school physical activity program on literacy and fitness called Reflective Educational Approach to Character and Health (REACH) (see Marttinen & Fredrick, 2017; Marttinen et al., 2019 for a detailed description of REACH). Participants were given both a waist-worn research-grade Actigraph accelerometer and a wrist-worn low-cost SQORD accelerometer. Due to availability of devices, not all participants received both devices as the number of Actigraph accelerometers available to the study were limited. Thus, a portion of the cohort (27 participants) was randomly selected to receive both devices, with the remaining participants receiving only the SQORD band.

Participants
Data from a total of 41 fifth and sixth grade students were analyzed. Students average age was 10.7 ± 0.7 years. The population self-identified 54% male, 46% female; 71% were in 6th grade and the students self-identified 80.5% Hispanic; 7.5% White; 5% Black, 7.5% other.

School Demographics
The school where the study was conducted is a Title I school and qualifies for supplemental government funding due to the high percentage of students coming from low-income families. There were 757 students enrolled during the 2016-2017 school year, of which 623 (82.2%) were receiving either free or reduced-price lunches. The majority of the students (87%) were Latina/o (Education Data Partnership, 2017).

Physical Activity Measures via Actigraph Accelerometry
Daily MVPA was measured using Actigraph tri-axial accelerometers (Actigraph 4 MB GT3X, Pensacola, FL). These devices provide detailed information regarding temporal patterns of physical activity, including duration, frequency, and intensity. All accelerometer data were collected and stored as acceleration counts, recorded in 5-second epochs. The Actigraph tri-axial accelerometer has excellent inter- and intra-instrument reliability across a wide range of accelerations and has been validated for children ages 10 to 15 years (Hänggi, Phillips, & Rowlands, 2013).

Accelerometers were distributed to students during an after-school program by research staff. Participants were instructed to wear the accelerometer around their waist on the right side for as much of the day as possible (excluding sleeping, showering/bathing, and swimming activities) for seven consecutive days. Each participant was given instructions as to how the accelerometer works, how to put on and remove the device, and how to adjust the elastic waistband. Participants were also provided a handout to share with their parents describing proper wear of accelerometers in either English or Spanish. At the end of the seven-day period, the accelerometers were collected by research staff at the same after-school program.
The accelerometer data were screened for wear-time compliance, where valid wear-time criterion was *a priori* defined as at least 10 hours per day for a minimum of four days, including at least one weekend day, after excluding the first and last day of data (Rich et al., 2013). Data from the first and last days were excluded because they coincided with the days that the accelerometers were given out and collected, respectively, and therefore were incomplete days of wear. Preliminary analyses revealed there was very low compliance with the a priori wear-time criterion of 10 hours per day. The wear-time criterion was therefore reset at a minimum of 6 hours per day to optimize the sample size. Non-wear time was defined as any period of 60 minutes or more of zero accelerometer counts per minute (cpm). Thresholds established specifically for children by Evenson et al. (2008) were used to determine time spent in physical activity at all intensities. MVPA was determined by the sum of time spent in moderate (≥2296 – <4012 cpm) and vigorous physical activity (≥4012 cpm).

**Physical Activity Measures via SQORD**

Daily MVPA was also measured using an activity tracker designed for youth called the SQORD band. The SQORD band is a waterproof, wrist-worn, non-display device and has only been used in research twice thus far (Masteller et al., 2017; Sirard et al., 2017). The SQORD band measures physical activity as arbitrary counts in 15-minute blocks. These arbitrary counts, or “points,” then sync to the participant’s mobile device, in which the participant can play a game based off of how many points they have earned. The SQORD band can save and store earned activity points for seven days. A greater number of points earned in a 15-minute period is indicative of a higher level of intensity of physical activity. These scores are based off of a proprietary algorithm that SQORD uses to convert the intensity of steps per minute to points in order to engage the user with “SQORD points.”

The SQORD band was distributed to participants at the same time as the Actigraph accelerometers. Participants were instructed to wear the SQORD band for the entire seven-day physical activity data collection period while also wearing the Actigraph accelerometer. Following the data collection period, the activity data from the SQORD was screened for wear-time. Valid wear-time was established as 6 hours per day, on at least 4 days including 1 weekday and 1 weekend day, so as to match the wear-time criterion established for the Actigraph data. For the SQORD band, valid days were defined as a minimum of 24 15-minute blocks where points were accumulated. The first and the last days were excluded, as these were the days the SQORD band was given out and returned, respectively. Average daily SQORD points were calculated for each participant meeting the wear-time criterion.

**Fitness Measures**

Physical fitness in four areas (abdominal, upper and lower body strength and endurance, and aerobic capacity) was assessed in all participants using four separate tests, as described below. All tests other than the lower body power test were performed using the FITNESSGRAM battery of tests (Plowman, 2013). The FITNESSGRAM is a validated and reliable test for fitness in youth populations and has been adopted by several states as a standardized test including California where this study took place.

**Abdominal Strength and Endurance Assessment.** A cadence-based curl-up test, following the FITNESSGRAM standards, was used to obtain abdominal strength and endurance (Plowman, 2013). Participants were instructed to complete a curl-up to the rhythm of a metronome. Participants began the test lying on their back, with the knees bent, and feet unanchored. The metronome was set to a cadence of 20 repetitions per minute. Participants were asked to complete as many curl-ups as possible until they felt they could no longer continue, with 75 curl-ups being the maximum (Plowman, 2013).

This type of curl-up test has been shown to have lower reliability in younger participants compared to older, but this is consistently found with abdominal assessments (Anderson, Zhang, Rudisill, & Gaa, 1997; Plowman, 2013). Test-retest reliability for the curl-up test has previously been reported to be 0.70 in youth 6-10 years old and 0.86-0.89 in youth aged 10-12 years old,
respectively (Anderson et al., 1997; Patterson, Rethwisch, & Wiksten, 1997).

**Upper Body Strength and Endurance Assessment.** The 90° push-up assessment, following the FITNESSGRAM standards, was used to obtain upper body strength and endurance, specifically upper arm and shoulder girdle (Plowman, 2013).

The 90° push-up test was conducted at a cadence of one repetition per every three seconds. Participants placed hands and toes on the grounds, without contact between the knees and the ground, and were instructed to flex their arms to 90° and then push back up. Participants were asked to complete as many push-ups to the beat of the metronome until they could no longer continue (Plowman, 2013). This test has demonstrated reliability coefficients ranging from 0.64 to 0.75 in elementary-aged youth (Plowman, 2013; Saint Romain & Mahar, 2001).

**Aerobic Capacity.** The PACER test was used to measure estimated maximal oxygen consumption ($VO_{2max}$). The PACER test is a multistage test adapted from the 20-meter shuttle run test and was administered following the FITNESSGRAM protocol. Each participant was instructed to run back and forth across a course that was 20 meters in length. Beeps on a soundtrack played throughout the test, with each beep indicating when a participant should reach the ends of the course. As the test proceeded, the pace of the beeps was progressively increased after each minute until the participant could no longer maintain the set pace (Plowman, 2013). An equation developed by Mahar et al. (2011) was used to estimate $VO_{2max}$ from the results accounting for age and sex. Results are presented as an estimate of $VO_{2max}$ in ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. The PACER test has been found to be both valid and reliable, with several studies reporting validity coefficients ranging from 0.83 to 0.93 and reliability coefficients above 0.64 (Leger & Gadoury, 1989; Leger & Lambert, 1982; Leger, Mercier, Gadoury, & Lambert, 1988; Paliczka, Nichols, & Boreham, 1987; Ramsbottom, Brewer, & Williams, 1988).

**Leg Power.** Leg power was assessed using the vertical jump test (DiStefano et al., 2010). Vertical jump height was measured using a vertical jump apparatus (Epic Combine Jump Station), with each vane of the apparatus representing 0.5 inches of jump height. Participants attempted two practice countermovement jumps at a self-selected depth before performing three maximal jumps. If the participant touched any vanes on the third attempt, they continued to jump until they no longer moved any vanes. The best trial was recorded and used for analyses. In youth ages 9 to 16, the vertical jump has been shown to be reliable in both vertical concentric mean and peak power and eccentric mean power (Meylan, Cronin, Oliver, Hughes, & McMaster, 2012).

**Procedures**

Data used in this study are drawn from the baseline data collected prior to the start of the REACH program (Late August and September 2016). Participants were provided with both the SQORD band and Actigraph devices prior to the start of the REACH program. Selected participants were reminded to wear both the Actigraph accelerometer and the SQORD band when given the devices and by the after-school teachers. There were no reminders given to students over the weekends. Those participants wearing the devices for at least four days during the data collection week had their names included in a drawing in which the winners (one girl and one boy) would receive a backpack. Upon return of the Actigraph accelerometers and SQORD bands one week later, study participants completed the battery of four fitness tests during a REACH program session.

**Data Analyses**

The data were screened for normality and a square root transformation was applied to non-normally distributed variables to normalize the data. Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables of interest using frequencies and proportions or means and standard deviations, as appropriate. Frequencies were used to show the proportion of participants with valid data based on combinations of hours of wear (6, 8, and 10 hours) and days (1 day, 2 days, 3, days, 4 days, 3 days excluding weekend days, and 4 days...
excluding weekend days) for both the SQORD band and the Actigraph accelerometer.

The relationship between daily MVPA as measured by the SQORD band and the Actigraph accelerometer was assessed using bivariate Pearson correlation. Bivariate Pearson correlational analyses were further used to determine associations between the MVPA determined by Actigraph accelerometry and each fitness measure as well as between MVPA measured by the SQORD band and each fitness measure. All analyses were considered significant at a $p$-value of .05.

### Results

Forty-one youth participated in this study. Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. The average daily MVPA (36.5 ± 14.9 minutes; via Actigraph) fell below the CDC recommended 60 minutes per day. The mean daily MVPA (via SQORD band) was 85,652 ± 19,640 points. Participants performed a mean of 30.5 ± 22.0 curl-ups and 10.9 ± 7.7 push-ups. The mean estimated VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was 41.4 ± 4.3 ml·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ and the mean vertical jump height was 11.5 ± 3.0 inches.

### Wear-Time Compliance Sensitivity Analysis

The sensitivity analysis of wear-time for 6, 8, and 10 hours for the Actigraph accelerometer and SQORD band is presented in Table 2. Just below 63% of participants met the valid wear-time criteria for 6 hours for the Actigraph accelerometer; this decreased to 22.2% at the criterion of 10 hours of wear-time. A significant difference was found in MVPA between those who wore the Actigraph accelerometer for 6 hours ($n=17$, 36.5 ± 14.9 min/day) versus those who wore it for 10 hours ($n=6$, 27.7 ± 10.8 min/day; $p=.024$).

For the SQORD band, approximately 66% of participants met the valid wear-time criteria for 6 hours while just 54% met the criteria of 10 hours of wear-time. A significantly greater proportion of participants met all criteria for wear-time when wearing the SQORD band in comparison to the Actigraph accelerometer (56.1% versus 37.0%, $p=.004$ for 8 hours; 53.7%, versus 22.2%, $p=.000$ for 10 hours). There were no significant differences between MVPA based on the SQORD for those who wore the SQORD for 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.</th>
<th>Participant Characteristics ($N=41$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th grade</td>
<td>12 (29.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th grade</td>
<td>29 (70.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22 (53.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>19 (46.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>33 (80.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>3 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean ± SD, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily MVPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actigraph (min/day)</td>
<td>36.5 ± 14.9, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQORD (pts/day)</td>
<td>85,652 ± 19,640.4, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscular Endurance</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal (# curl-ups)</td>
<td>30.5 ± 22.0, 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Body (# push-ups)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 7.7, 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic Capacity</td>
<td>Predicted VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (ml·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg Power</td>
<td>Vertical Jump (in.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proportion of participants meeting of wear-time compliance for 6, 8, and 10 hours were compared using the McNemar test for both the Actigraph accelerometer and the SQORD band. In order to examine if wear-time varied by activity levels, independent $t$-tests were used to analyze the difference in MVPA via Actigraph accelerometry and via the SQORD band between participants wearing the devices for 6 hours versus those who wore it for 10 hours.
hours (n=27, 85652 ± 19640.4 pts/day) versus those who wore it 10 hours (n=22, 89717 ± 16679.9; p=.258).

**Table 2.**
Frequency of Valid Wear-Time for 6, 8, and 10 Hours for the Actigraph and SQORD Actigraph Wear-Time Frequencies (N=41)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wear-Time</th>
<th>Actigraph (n=27)</th>
<th>SQORD (n=38)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Hours</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Hours</td>
<td>17 (62.9)</td>
<td>27 (65.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Hours</td>
<td>10 (37.0)</td>
<td>23 (56.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>3 (11.1)</td>
<td>3 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.**
Percentage of Participants with Valid data for the Actigraph and SQORD Determined by Hours and Days of Wear (N=41)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wear-Time</th>
<th>1 Day (%)</th>
<th>2 Day (%)</th>
<th>3 Day (%)</th>
<th>4 Day (%)</th>
<th>3 Days- excluding weekends (%)</th>
<th>4 Day- excluding weekends (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actigraph (n=27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 hours</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQORD (n=38)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 hours</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Associations Between MVPA (Actigraph), MVPA (SQORD), and Fitness Measures**

Daily MVPA measured by SQORD was moderately correlated with that measured via Actigraph accelerometry (r = 0.651, p=.005). There were no significant correlations between MVPA (measured by either SQORD or Actigraph) and any measure of fitness (r = -0.061 – 0.817, p>.05 for all; see Table 4).

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to assess free-living MVPA and wear-time compliance between physical activity measured by a consumer-grade, wrist-worn activity monitor (SQORD band) and a research-grade, waist-worn accelerometer (Actigraph accelerometer) in low SES youth. We further aimed to better understand the SQORD band by examining the relationships between daily MVPA collected by both devices and various fitness measures.
Table 4. Correlation of MVPA with Fitness Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MVPA via Actigraph</th>
<th>Pearson r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curl-Up (n=14)</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Push-Up (n=14)</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACER Estimated VO2max (n=16)</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Jump (n=17)</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>0.817</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MVPA via SQORD</th>
<th>Pearson r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curl-Up (n=21)</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Push-Up (n=21)</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACER Estimated VO2max (n=22)</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Jump (n=23)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fewer than 23% of youth met the standard wear-time compliance of 10 hours per day on four days for the Actigraph accelerometer, and only around 54% met the same criteria for the SQORD band. It is possible that the sample was biased, perhaps underestimating actual use among those youth who were particularly inactive. However, sensitivity analyses showed participants wearing the Actigraph accelerometer for 6 hours per day participated in daily MVPA significantly more than those who met the standard 10 hours per day criterion. In general, the objective measurement of MVPA among children and youth is a challenging endeavor, with studies suggesting that reactivity (change in normal behavior) and tampering influence the data (Scott, Morgan, Plotnikoff, Trost, & Lubans, 2014).

The location of the Actigraph accelerometer on the waist during data collection may provide another explanation for the low wear-time compliance, as discussed by Scott et al. (2017). Their study suggested that wrist-worn devices are preferred because they are likely to be more comfortable and less embarrassing. Furthermore, youth in the study reported a non-preference for waist-worn accelerometers and the authors reported a high incidence (approximately 25% of days) of missing data in their cohort (Scott et al., 2017). In the present study, wear-time compliance was significantly higher for the wrist-worn consumer device compared to the waist-worn accelerometer, in agreement with studies by Scott et al. and others (Fairclough et al., 2016; Scott et al., 2017). It is possible that the SQORD band was preferred over the Actigraph accelerometer for device design reasons, including the SQORD band providing simple feedback and connecting the user to a game and the waterproof nature of the band eliminating the need for participants to remove it; however this question was not addressed within our study. Nonetheless, the wrist-worn band appears to be a convenient consumer-grade activity tracker for youth possibly due to its wrist-worn capabilities and its fun-oriented design.

A novel aspect of the current study is the analyses of accelerometer wear-time adherence in a low-SES, mostly Hispanic population. A study by Evenson and colleagues (2015) directly analyzed wear-time adherence, finding that approximately 92% of a large cohort (more than 16,000 participants) of Hispanic adults had at least one day of valid wear-time and 77.7% adhering to the wear-time parameters of at least 3 days. Ruiz et al. (2011) studied the relationship between Hispanic parents’ and children’s physical activity patterns and found that, out of 106 parent-child dyads, 75.4% of children and 80.2% of parents had usable accelerometry data. However, analyses by Schaefer and colleagues (2016) found low engagement (wearing and syncing the activity device regularly) in a group of urban youth. This study found low accelerometer wear-time compliance in our mostly Hispanic sample, with only 62.9% of participants meeting the 6 hours per day wear-time criterion for only one day. Evidence suggests careful monitoring and consistent encouragement from research staff for wear-time adherence in youth is essential, so it is possible that greater monitoring and encouragement from research staff could have improved wear-time compliance in our young participants (Schaefer et al., 2016; Van Coevering et al., 2005).

The primary purpose of this study was to correlate the SQORD activity monitor against the Actigraph GT3X accelerometer. Results indicate that there was a moderate correlation between the two devices in the measurement of MVPA. This finding agrees with the study by Sirard et al.
(2017) which demonstrated the SQORD band was consistently sufficient in measuring both structured and free-living physical activity and exhibited higher and more stable day-to-day correlations compared to the ActiGraph accelerometer. These findings further corroborate that the SQORD band is able to measure free-living MVPA, which is especially important due to the increased popularity of consumer-grade activity trackers. The findings suggest that the SQORD band may be useful in future interventions in which direct, timely MVPA feedback to youth is desired, given that the participants in this study were much more compliant in wearing the consumer-grade device compared to the research-grade device.

There were no significant associations between the daily MVPA collected either via SQORD band or Actigraph and the various fitness measures. Other studies have demonstrated that higher daily physical activity is associated with higher fitness levels in youth (Aires et al., 2010; Lohman et al., 2008; Martinez-Gomez et al., 2011). The lack of significant associations in this study may be due to the relatively low sample size obtained because the participants did not wear the devices very often or for very long, resulting in many participants with missing MVPA data. Furthermore, due to lack of permission from the school board, pubertal status was not assessed in this study, which is associated with fitness level in both sexes (Batista et al., 2017; Gammon, Pfeiffer, Kazanis, Ling, & Robbins, 2017). Associations between physical activity and various fitness measures may have been more evident had we controlled for maturation.

Limitations
In addition to the limitations outlined above, it is possible that the wear-time between the devices may not have occurred during the same 6-hour period on a given day. While recognizing that this may be a limitation in our data, we were primarily interested in average daily MVPA, so the time period in which the activity was accrued was not of primary interest. Our study highlights the difficulty of measuring physical activity in children and youth, as demonstrated by our low wear-time compliance. Previous studies have also found this to be a challenge. As outlined earlier, Scott et al. (2014) found low wear-time compliance among youth participants, particularly with waist-worn devices along with evidence of reactivity and device tampering. Another recent study found approximately 31% of boys and girls did not meet wear-time compliance when measuring physical activity using an arm-worn (worn on the upper arm/triceps area) accelerometer (Saint-Maurice, Kim, & Welk, 2017). We attempted to address the challenge of low wear-time compliance by providing an incentive to those who met certain wear-time parameters. Other studies have provided incentives, such as Scott et al. (2014) who provided gift bags to those who returned the pedometer. However, low wear-time compliance remained an issue in these studies. Tudor-Locke et al. (2015) found youth 9 to 11 years had higher wear-time compliance when asked to wear the waist-worn accelerometer for 24-hours during the duration of the collection period compared to youth who were asked to wear it only during waking hours. Thus, altering accelerometer data collection protocols may result in higher wear-time compliance in youth, but more research would need to confirm this speculation.

Conclusion
This is only the second study to examine the SQORD band in monitoring free-living MVPA among youth. Novel analyses in the present study include the comparisons of wear-time between an expensive, research-grade accelerometer and a cost- and user-friendly activity tracker in a low SES, mostly Hispanic youth population. Due to its ability to effectively measure MVPA in elementary-aged youth, the SQORD band appears to be a cost-friendly and feasible device to measure daily MVPA for youth and could be a possible option for tracking MVPA for low-budget research studies. Groups who are interested in tracking the physical activity of youth, such as after-school programs, physical education programs, teachers, and researchers, should consider using wrist-worn, consumer-grade devices, as youth appear to favor it over waist-worn, research-grade devices. In order to be effective, however, future research will need to consider strategies to increase wear-time compliance in youth study populations.
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